Case SCHEDULING CONFERENCE WORKSHEET 1 of 2

(The parties **SHALL** attach this completed worksheet to their joint scheduling report)

CAS	SE NAME:
CAS	SE NUMBER:
SCI	HEDULING CONFERENCE DATE:
API	PEARANCES:
	PLAINTIFF(S):
	DEFENDANT(S):
	I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS
Α.	Is there joint consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction?
11.	Yes: No:
В.	Has the answer been filed?
2.	Yes: No: (If No, explain in the Joint Report.)
C.	Are pleading amendments anticipated?
	Yes: No: Proposed deadline: (If proposing other than around the mid-point of discovery, explain in the Joint Report.)
D.	Have initial disclosures per Rule 26 been completed?
	Yes: No: If No, enter the proposed deadline: (If proposing more than 30 days after the scheduling conference, explain in the Joint Report.)
	II. DISCOVERY
	The intervals indicated in this section are believed by the Court to be appropriate. However, if the
parties	agree that other reasonable intervals better suit their case, they may so provide and explain their
reasonii	ng in the Joint Report.
A.	Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: (Generally, six months from scheduling conference date.)
В.	Expert Disclosure Deadline: (Generally, one to two weeks after non-expert discovery deadline.)
С.	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure Deadline: (Generally, two to four weeks after initial expert disclosure.)
D.	Expert Discovery Cut-Off: (Generally, 30 days after rebuttal expert disclosure.)
E.	Mid-Discovery Status Conference:(Generally, five weeks prior to non-expert discovery Cut-off.)

Α.	Biturcation of issues requested?
	Yes: No: (If Yes, explain in the Joint Report.)
B.	Do all parties believe an early settlement conference could be productive?
	Yes: No:

- C. Identify any other issues a party wants to address at the Scheduling Conference, including:
 - Misjoinder of claims
 - Setting a date for settlement conference with a magistrate judge
 - Referral to the court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program.

¹The intervals specified in this section may <u>not</u> be shortened.